Case 2:13-cv-00519-JCM-VCF Document 20 Filed 03/13/14 Page 2 of 2

1	Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at all
2	of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." <i>Thomas v. Arn</i> , 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985).
3	Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate
4	judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See United States v.
5	Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review employed by the
6	district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no objections were made); see
7	also Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit's
8	decision in Reyna-Tapia as adopting the view that district courts are not required to review "any
9	issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge's
10	recommendation, then this court may accept the recommendation without review. See, e.g.,
11	Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge's recommendation
12	to which no objection was filed).
13	Nevertheless, this court finds it appropriate to engage in a de novo review to determine
14	whether to adopt the recommendation of the magistrate judge. Upon reviewing the recommendation
15	and underlying briefs, this court finds good cause appears to ADOPT the magistrate judge's findings
16	in full.
17	Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY, ORDERED, AND DECREED that the report and recommendation of Magistrate Judge Ferenbach (doc. # 10) are ADOPTED in their entirety.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that count two of plaintiff William Alfred's complaint (doc. #9) is STRICKEN.

DATED March 13, 2014.

23

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge